arXiv:1603.01813v1 [math.AG] 6 Mar 2016

CHARACTERIZATION OF CIRCUITS SUPPORTING POLYNOMIAL SYSTEMS WITH THE MAXIMAL NUMBER OF POSITIVE SOLUTIONS

BOULOS EL HILANY

Abstract. A polynomial system with n equations in n variables supported on a set $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of $n+2$ points has at most $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions. Moreover, if this bound is reached, then $\mathcal{W}$ is minimally affinely dependent, in other words, it is a circuit in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any positive integer number n, we determine all circuits $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ which can support a polynomial system with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions. Restrictions on such circuits $\mathcal{W}$ are obtained using Grothendieck's real dessins d'enfant, while polynomial systems with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions are constructed using Viro's combinatorial patchworking.

1. Introduction

The support $\mathcal{W}$ of a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, z_n^{\pm 1}]$ is the set of points $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ corresponding to monomials z^w appearing with a non-zero coefficient in f. The support of a polynomial system

$$f_1(z) = \cdots = f_n(z) = 0, \tag{$\star$}$$

in n variables is the union of supports of the individual polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_n$. An important result by Kouchnirenko in [10] shows that the number of non-degenerate solutions of $(\star)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is at most $n!\,\mathrm{Vol}(\Delta_{\mathcal{W}})$, where $\mathrm{Vol}(\Delta_{\mathcal{W}})$ is the standard Euclidean volume of the convex hull $\Delta_{\mathcal{W}}$ of $\mathcal{W}$. Real polynomial systems (defined by polynomials with real coefficients) appear ubiquitously in mathematics, and we are interested in estimating their numbers of real solutions. Although both Bezout's and Kouchnirenko' bounds hold true for the number of non-degenerate solutions in $(\mathbb{R}^*)^n$ as well, the resulting bounds are not always sharp. This typically happens when $\mathcal{W}$ has few elements comparatively to $\Delta_{\mathcal{W}} \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. A particular attention is paid on the positive solutions of $(\star)$, which are the solutions contained in the positive orthant of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Indeed, assume that there exists a sharp upper bound $N_{\mathcal{W}}$ on the number of non-degenerate positive solutions of $(\star)$ that depends only on $\mathcal{W}$. Then this $N_{\mathcal{W}}$ also bounds the number of solutions contained in any other orthant, and thus $(\star)$ will not have more than $2^n N_{\mathcal{W}}$ solutions in $(\mathbb{R}^*)^n$. Descartes showed in 1637 that we have $N_{\mathcal{W}} = |\mathcal{W}| - 1$ for $n = 1$, but still, before Khovanskii's book [9], it was not clear that such $N_{\mathcal{W}}$ even exists for $n \geq 2$. He proved that the number of non-degenerate positive solutions of a system of n equations in n variables having a total of $n+k+1$ distinct monomials is bounded by $2^{\binom{n+k}{2}}(n+1)^{n+k}$. This bound was later improved by F. Bihan and F. Sottile [7] to $\frac{e^2+3}{4}2^{\binom{k}{2}}n^k$, however only a handful of sharp fewnomial bounds are known (c.f. [3, 5, 11]). When $k = 0$, the system $(\star)$ can be reduced to a system where each polynomial is a binomial, and thus has at most one non-degenerate positive solution. The previous bounds on the number of non-degenerate positive solutions also hold true for (generalized) polynomial systems with support $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, which are systems where each equation is a linear combination of monomials with real exponents.

One of the first non-trivial cases arises when $n \geq 2$ and $k = 1$, in which case the support is a set of $n+2$ points in $\mathbb{R}^n$. F. Bihan [3] proved that any polynomial system supported on such $\mathcal{W}$ has at most $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions. Moreover, if this bound is

reached, then $\mathcal{W}$ is minimally affinely dependent, which means that it is a *circuit* in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In the following we assume that $\mathcal{W}$ is a circuit in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that up to a scalar multiplication, there exists a unique affine relation on $\mathcal{W}$. Polynomial systems supported on a circuit in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ whose all non-degenerate complex solutions are positive have been studied in [2] (such systems are called *maximally positive*). As a main result, it is given for any positive integer n a finite list of circuits in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ that can support maximally positive systems up to the obvious action of the group of invertible integer affine transformations of $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Also for the circuit case, a very recent generalization of the Descartes' rule of sign was developed by F. Bihan and A. Dickenstein in [4]. This gave some conditions on both the circuit and the coefficient matrix that are necessary for the system to have $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions. More precisely, the authors in [4] show that if such system has $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions, then all maximal minors of the coefficient matrix are nonzero and any affine relation $\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \lambda_i w_i = 0$ on $\mathcal{W}$ has the same number (up to 1 if n is odd) of positive coefficients as that of negative ones.

In this paper, we completely characterize the circuits which are supports of polynomial systems with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions.

Theorem 1.1. *A circuit $\mathcal{W}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ supports a system with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions if and only if there exists a bijection*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{1,\dots,n+2\} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{W} \\ i & \longmapsto & w_i \end{array}$$

such that every affine relation on $\mathcal{W}$ can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i w_i = \sum_{s+1}^{n+2} \beta_i w_i,$$

where $s = \lfloor (n+2)/2 \rfloor$ and all α_i, β_i are positive numbers which satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i < \sum_{i=s+1}^{s+r} \beta_i < \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \quad \textit{for} \quad r = 1,\dots,s-1 \quad \textit{if} \quad n \quad \textit{is even}$$

or

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i < \sum_{i=s+2}^{s+r+1} \beta_i < \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} \alpha_i \quad \textit{for} \quad r = 1,\dots,s-1 \quad \textit{if} \quad n \quad \textit{is odd.}$$

F. Bihan proved in [2] that if a circuit in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ supports a maximally positive system with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions, then it has a primitive affine relation (i.e. affine relation with coprime integer coefficients) as in Theorem 1.1 with $\alpha_1 = \beta_{n+2} = 1$ and all other coefficients are equal to two. This can be seen as a consequence of Theorem 1.1 (see Example **??**). Indeed, if $\mathcal{W}$ supports a maximally positive system with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions, then the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ generated by $\mathcal{W}$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Moreover, if $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i w_i = \sum_{s+1}^{n+2} \beta_i w_i$ is a primitive affine relation, then $\sum_{i=1}^{s} \alpha_i = \sum_{s+1}^{n+2} \beta_i = n+1$ (see [2] for more details), which together with inequalities in Theorem 1.1 imply the desired equalities.

In order to prove Theorem 1.1, one can reduce to the case where $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$. Indeed, assume that a system with support $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1,\dots,w_{n+2}\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions. Then for $i = 1,\dots,n+2$, points $\tilde{w}_i \in \mathbb{Q}^n$ that are sufficiently close to w_i support a (generalized) polynomial system with the same coefficients and having at least $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions, and thus exactly this number of non-degenerate positive solutions since $n+1$ is an upper bound. Now, multiplying all $\tilde{w}_i$ by some integer, one acquires a system supported on a circuit in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions. Since the inequalities appearing

Theorem 1.1 are strict, if the first circuit $\mathcal{W}$ satisfies them, then they are satisfied by the new circuit $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ as well, and vice-versa.

Assume that $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_{n+2}\}$ is a set of $n+2$ points in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ and consider any affine relation $\sum_{i=1}^{n+2} \lambda_i w_i = 0$ with integer coefficients. After a small perturbation, any system with n equations in n variables $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ and supported on $\mathcal{W}$ can be reduced by Gaussian elimination to a system

$$z^{w_i} = P_i(z^{w_{n+1}}) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.1}$$

having at least the same number of non-degenerate positive solutions, where $P_1, \ldots, P_{n+1}$ are real polynomials of degree 1 in one variable (see Section 2). We define in Section 2 a real rational function $\varphi(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+1} P_i^{\lambda_i}$, where (1.1) can be completely recoverd from the function φ and vice-versa. We apply *Gale duality* (c.f. [2, 6, 7]) to obtain a correspondence between non-degenerate solutions of a system supported on $\mathcal{W}$ and those of $\varphi(y) = 1$. This correspondence restricts to a bijection between non-degenerate positive solutions of the system and the solutions contained in the (possibly empty) interval $\Delta_+ := \{y \in \mathbb{R} |\ P_i(y) > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n+1\}$. After homogenization, we get a real rational map $\mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$ that we denote again by φ. The *real dessin d'enfant* Γ associated to $\varphi : \mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$, is the inverse image of the real projective line under φ. Given that $\varphi(y) = 1$ has $n+1$ solutions in Δ_+, we deduce by analyzing Γ in Section 3 the inequalities of Theorem 1.1.

The solutions of $\varphi(y) = 1$ in Δ_+ are roots of the *Gale polynomial*

$$G(y) = \prod_{\lambda_i > 0} P_i^{\lambda_i}(y) - \prod_{\lambda_i < 0} P_i^{-\lambda_i}(y) \tag{1.2}$$

in the same interval. In [13] (see the proof of Lemma 1.8), K. Phillipson and J.-M. Rojas construct polynomial systems (1.1) supported on a circuit in $\mathbb{Z}^n$ with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solutions using *Viro polynomials* $P_{i,t}(y) = a_i + t^{\alpha_i} b_i$, where $a_i, b_i, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$, and $t > 0$ is a parameter that will be taken small enough. They apply the version of Viro's *combinatorial patchworking* developed in [14] which involves mixed subdivision of Newton polytopes. Here, we also use Viro polynomials $P_{i,t}$, but look directly at the roots of the corresponding Gale polynomial in Δ_+. The inequalities in Theorem 1.1 appear explicitly as being necessary to construct polynomial systems (1.1) with $n+1$ non-degenerate positive solution using Viro polynomials $P_{i,t}$.

Acknowledgments: The author is grateful to Frédéric Bihan for very helpful discussions and suggestions.

2. Technical Preamble

Given a system of n polynomials in n variables with total support a circuit $\mathcal{W} = \{w_1, \ldots, w_{n+2}\}$, perturbing slightly its coefficients if necessary, we may assume that the coefficients of $z^{w_1}, \ldots, z^{w_n}$ in the system form an invertible matrix (a small perturbation does not decrease the number of non-degenerate positive solutions). Since we are only interested in non-degenerate positive solutions, we may assume that $w_{n+2} = \mathbf{0}$ and we transform $(\star)$ via Gaussian elimination into an equivalent system such that the coefficients of $z^{w_1}, \ldots, z^{w_n}$ form a diagonal matrix

$$z^{w_i} = P_i(z^{w_{n+1}}) \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{2.1}$$

where $P_i(z^{w_{n+1}}) = a_i + b_i z^{w_{n+1}}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. We start by giving a brief description about *Gale duality* for the system (2.1) (c.f. [2, 3, 6]). We use the linear relations on $\mathcal{W}$ to obtain

a special polynomial in one variable, called *Gale polynomial*. We have that any integer linear relation among the exponent vectors of $\mathcal{W}$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i w_i = 0 \tag{2.2}$$

gives a monomial identity

$$(z^{w_1})^{\lambda_1} \cdots (z^{w_n})^{\lambda_n} (z^{w_{n+1}})^{\lambda_{n+1}} = 1.$$

If we substitute the polynomials $P_i(z^{w_{n+1}})$ of (2.1) into this identity, we obtain a consequence of the latter equation

$$(P_1(z^{w_{n+1}}))^{\lambda_1} \cdots (P_n(z^{w_{n+1}}))^{\lambda_n} (z^{w_{n+1}})^{\lambda_{n+1}} = 1. \tag{2.3}$$

Under the substitution $y = z^{w_{n+1}}$, the polynomials $P_i(z^{w_{n+1}})$ become linear functions $P_i(y)$. Set $P_{n+1}(y) = y$. Then (2.3) becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} P_i(y)^{\lambda_i} = 1, \tag{2.4}$$

which constitutes a **Gale transform** associated to the system (2.1). Recall that

$$\Delta_+ = \{y \mid P_i(y) > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, n+1\}.$$

We can write equivalently (2.4) as $G(y) = 0$, where G is the **Gale polynomial** defined by

$$G(y) = \prod_{\lambda_i > 0} P_i^{\lambda_i}(y) - \prod_{\lambda_i < 0} P_i^{-\lambda_i}(y). \tag{2.5}$$

Proposition 2.1. [7] *The association*

$$\phi_{w_{n+1}} \ : \ \mathbb{R}_+^n \ni z \ \longmapsto z^{w_{n+1}} =: y \in \mathbb{R}_+$$

is a bijection between solutions $z \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$ of the diagonal system (2.1) *and solutions $y \in \Delta_+$ of* (2.4) *which restricts to a bijection between their non-degenerate solutions.*

3. Proof of the "only if" direction of Theorem 1.1

Set $P_{n+2}(y) = 1$ and $\lambda_{n+2} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \lambda_i$. We see P_{n+2} as a polynomial of degree 1 having a root at ∞. In what follows, we study the solutions of $\varphi(y) = 1$ contained in Δ_+ where

$$\varphi(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n+2} P_i^{\lambda_i}(y). \tag{3.1}$$

We say that a point $x \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a **special point** of φ if x is either a root or a pole of φ. Conversely, a **non-special critical point** $x \in \mathbb{R}$ of φ is a root of φ' such that x is not a special point of φ. In what follows, we see φ (after homogenization) as a real rational map $\mathbb{C}P^1 \to \mathbb{C}P^1$.

Remark 3.1. *It is proven in* [3] *(Proof of Proposition 2.1.) that*

$$\varphi'(y) = y^{\lambda_{n+1}-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{\lambda_i - 1}(y) \cdot H(y), \tag{3.2}$$

where $\deg H \leq n$. *Therefore* φ *has at most* n *non-special critical points.*

Assume that Δ_+ is a non-empty interval. Note that all special points of φ are contained in $\mathbb{R}P^1$, and that by definition, the endpoints of Δ_+ are special points of φ. Choose an orientation of $\mathbb{R}P^1$ and enumerate the special points $x_1, \ldots, x_{n+2}$ of φ with respect to this orientation so that $x_i < x_{i+1}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n+1$ and the endpoints of Δ_+ are x_1 and x_{n+2} (see Figure 1). We also renumber the polynomials P_i so that x_i is the root of P_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n+2$.

FIGURE 1.

The real dessin d'enfant associated to φ is $\Gamma := \varphi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}P^1)$. The notations we use are taken from [3] and for a more detailed description of real dessins d'enfant see [8, 12] for instance. The dessin d'enfant Γ is a graph on $\mathbb{C}P^1$ invariant with respect to the complex conjugation and which contains $\mathbb{R}P^1$. Any connected component of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \Gamma$ is homeomorphic to an open disk. Real critical points of φ with real critical value are vertices of Γ. Moreover, each vertex of Γ has even valency, and the multiplicity of a critical point with real critical value of φ is half its valency. The graph Γ contains the inverse images of 0, ∞ and 1. The inverse image of 0 (resp. ∞) are the roots of all P_i where λ_i are positive (resp. negative). Denote by the same letter p (resp. q and r) the points of Γ which are mapped to 0 (resp. ∞ and 1). Orient the real axis on the target space via the arrows $0 \to \infty \to 1 \to 0$ (orientation given by the decreasing order in $\mathbb{R}$) and pull back this orientation by φ. The graph Γ becomes an oriented graph, with the orientation given by arrows $p \to q \to r \to p$. The graph Γ is called *real dessin d'enfant* associated to φ. A **cycle** of Γ is the boundary of a connected component of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \Gamma$. Any such cycle contains the same non-zero number of letters r, p , q ordered by $p \to q \to r \to p$ (see Figure 2): we say that a cycle obeys the **cycle rule**.



FIGURE 2. Cycles of Γ obeying the cycle rule.

Since the graph is invariant under complex conjugation, it is determined by its intersection with one connected component H (for half) of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \mathbb{R}P^1$. In most figures we will only show one half part $H \cap \Gamma$ together with $\mathbb{R}P^1 = \partial H$ represented as a horizontal line. Moreover, for simplicity, we omit the arrows. Let a, b be two critical points of φ i.e. vertices of Γ. We say that a and b are **neighbors** if there is a branch of $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{R}P^1$ joining them such that this branch does not contain any special or critical points of φ other than a or b. In what follows, we assume that $\varphi(y) = 1$ has $n+1$ solutions contained in Δ_+. Since the latter interval does not contain special points of φ, by Rolle's theorem, the function φ has at least n non-special critical points in Δ_+, and by Remark 3.1, the non-special critical points of φ (all n of them) are contained in Δ_+.

Lemma 3.2. *We have $\lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} < 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$.*

Proof. Consider a couple x_i, x_{i+1} of two consecutive special points of φ with $i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$. Then these two points are endpoints of an open interval in $\mathbb{R}P^1$ which does not contain special points or non-special critical points. By the cycle rule, this implies that one endpoint is a root (letter p) and the other is a pole (letter q) of φ. □

We will assume that for $i = 1, \dots, n+2$, we have $\lambda_i > 0$ if i is odd, and $\lambda_i < 0$ if i is even.

Lemma 3.3. *The non-special critical points of φ cannot be neighbors to each other.*

Proof. First, note that all special points of φ are contained in $\mathbb{R}P^1 \setminus \Delta_+$. Consider the branch of Γ contained in one of the connected components of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \mathbb{R}P^1$ joining two non-special critical points. Then one of the two connected components of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \Gamma$ adjacent to this edge will have a boundary disobeying the cycle rule. □

Lemma 3.4. *A special critical point of φ cannot be a neighbor to more than one non-special critical point.*

Proof. Assume that there exists a special critical point α of φ that is a neighbor to at least two non-special critical points of φ (in $\mathbb{R}P^1$). Let c_1 and c_2 be two such consecutive non-special critical points. Consider two branches of Γ contained in one of the connected components of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \mathbb{R}P^1$ joining α to c_1 and α to c_2 respectively. Then one of the two connected components of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \Gamma$ adjacent to these two branches will have a boundary containing only α as a special point, and thus disobeying the cycle rule. □

Lemma 3.5. *The special points x_1 and x_{n+2} of φ are not neighbors to any of the non-special critical points.*

Proof. Assume that x_1 is a neighbor to a non-special critical point c (the case where x_{n+2} is a neighbor to c is symmetric). Recall that Δ_+ does not contain special points of φ. Consider the branch of Γ contained in one of the connected components of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \mathbb{R}P^1$ joining x_1 to c. Then one of the two connected components of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \Gamma$ adjacent to this branch will have a boundary containing only x_1 as a special point, and thus disobeying the cycle rule. □

Recall that φ has n non-special critical points all contained in Δ_+. Let $c_2, \dots, c_{n+1}$ denote these points numbered so that $x_{n+2} < c_{n+1} < c_n < \cdots < c_2 < x_1$.

Proposition 3.6. *For $i = 2, \dots, n+1$, the special point x_i is a neighbor to c_i (see Figure 3).*

Proof. First, by Lemma 3.5, we have that the roots of P_1 and P_{n+2} are not neighbors to non-special critical points. Recall that there exists n non-special critical points in Δ_+. Therefore, by Lemmata 3.3 and 3.4, we have that for $i = 2, \cdots, n+1$, the special point x_i is a neighbor

to only one non-special critical point c_j. Consider the closed interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}P^1$ with endpoints x_i and c_j and which contains x_1. The special points in I are $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_i$ and the non-special critical points in I are $c_2, \ldots, c_j$. Then the non-special critical points in I can only be neighbors to special points in $I \setminus \{x_1\}$ (see Lemma 3.5). This induces a bijection between $\{x_2, \ldots, x_i\}$ and $\{c_2, \ldots, c_j\}$, thus $i = j$.



FIGURE 3.

□

Lemma 3.7. *The special point x_1 (resp. x_{n+2}) of φ can only be a neighbor to the special point x_2 (resp. x_{n+1}) of φ.*

Proof. We prove the result only for x_1 since the case for x_{n+2} is symmetric. Consider the open interval I with endpoints c_2 and x_2 containing x_1. By Proposition 3.6, we have that c_2 and x_2 are neighbors. The result comes as a consequence of Lemma 3.5 and of the fact that there does not exist special points or non-special critical points in I other than x_1 (See Figure 4). □

Lemma 3.8. *For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, the only special points which can be neighbors to x_{i+1} are x_i and x_{i+2}.*

Proof. Assume first that $i = 1$ (the case $i = n$ is symmetric). Recall that by Proposition 3.6, the special point x_2 (resp. x_3) and c_2 (resp. c_3) are neighbors. Therefore, the only other possible neighbors to x_2 are x_1 and x_3 (see Figure 4).



FIGURE 4.

Assume now that $i \neq 1$ and $i \neq n$. Recall that by Proposition 3.6 the point x_i (resp. x_{i+2}) is a neighbor to c_i (resp. c_{i+2}). Consider the disc $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with boundary given by the union of $[c_{i+2}, c_i]$, $[x_i, x_{i+2}]$ and the complex arcs of Γ joining c_i to x_i (resp. c_{i+2} to x_{i+2}), and which are contained in one given connected component of $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \mathbb{R}P^1$ (see Figure 5). The result follows from the fact that the only special points in $\mathcal{C}$ are x_i and x_{i+2}.



FIGURE 5.

□

Recall that λ_i is positive if i is odd and negative if i is even, and thus the root x_i of P_i is a zero (resp. pole) of φ if i is odd (resp. even). Recall that the valency of any special point x_i is the number V_i of edges of Γ that are incident to x_i.

For $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, denote by $N_{i,i+1}$ the number of edges of Γ in $\mathbb{C}P^1 \setminus \mathbb{R}P^1$ joining the special points x_i and x_{i+1}. By Lemmata 3.5 and 3.7, we have $V_1 = N_{1,2} + 2$ and $V_{n+2} = N_{n+1,n+2} + 2$ (each number 2 corresponds to the pair of edges of Γ in $\mathbb{R}P^1$ incident to x_1 and x_{n+2} respectively). Moreover, for $i = 2, \dots, n+1$, Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.8 show that $V_i = N_{i-1,i} + N_{i,i+1} + 4$, where the number 4 counts the branches in $\mathbb{R}P^1$ together with the branches joining x_i to c_i. Knowing that $V_i = |2\lambda_i|$, it is straightforward to compute that for $k = 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{2j-1} < -\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{2j} < \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_{2j+1} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even, or} \tag{3.3}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{2j-1} < -\sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_{2j} < \sum_{j=0}^{k} \lambda_{2j+1} \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \tag{3.4}$$

This finishes the proof of the "only if part" of Theorem 1.1.

We now finish the description of Γ. For $i \in \{0, \dots, n+1\}$, consider the real branch L_0 joining two consecutive special points x_i and x_{i+1} of φ. Let $k := N_{i,i+1}/2$, and for $j = 1, \dots, k$, consider the couple of conjugate branches $(L_j, \overline{L}_j)$ joining x_i to x_{i+1} enumerated such that the open disc of $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with boundary $(L_j, \overline{L}_j)$ and containing L_0, contains the couple $(L_{j-1}, \overline{L}_{j-1})$ as well (assuming that $L_0 \equiv \overline{L}_0$). The branch L_k (resp. $\overline{L}_k$) does not contain a letter r since there exists a cycle of Γ_1 containing both L_k (resp. $\overline{L}_k$) and a letter $r \in \Delta_+$, and thus obeying the cycle rule. On the other hand, the branch L_{k-1} (resp. $\overline{L}_{k-1}$) contains a letter r where the cycle formed by the union of L_k and L_{k-1} (resp. $\overline{L}_k$ and $\overline{L}_{k-1}$) and containing x_i and x_{i+1} obeys the cycle rule. We deduce that for $j = 0, \dots, k$, the branch L_j (resp. $\overline{L}_j$) has exactly 1 or 0 letters r according as j and $k-1$ have the same parity or not (see Example 3.1).

In fact, this complete description of the dessin d'enfant Γ can be used to prove the "if" part of Theorem 1.1 with the same techniques as in [3]. However, we choose in Section 4 a different method, namely Viro's combinatorial patchworking, which shows clearly why the inequalities of Theorem 1.1 are necessary.

Remark 3.9. *From the relations described above, we see that the collection of integers $N_{i,i+1}$ is determined by the collection of the coefficients λ_i (and vice-versa). Moreover, we see that the inequalities of Theorem 1.1 are equivalent to $N_{i,i+1} \geq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$.*



FIGURE 6.

Example 3.1. *Figure 7 represents an example of* Γ *where* $n = 3$, $\lambda_1 = 3$, $\lambda_2 = -7$, $\lambda_3 = 6$, $\lambda_4 = -3$ *and* $\lambda_5 = 1$. *The dessin d'enfant* Γ *can be obtained from* Γ_0 *(see Figure 6) by adding complex branches connecting consecutive special points and letters* r *as described above.*



FIGURE 7.

4. Proof of the "if" direction of Theorem 1.1

Assume that $\lambda_i > 0$ if i is odd, $\lambda_i < 0$ if i is even and (3.3) or (3.4) is satisfied (depending on the parity of n). In this section, we construct polynomials P_i (see Section 3) such that (3.1) has $n+1$ solutions in Δ_+. These polynomials have the form $P_{1,t}(y) = t^{\alpha_1} y$, $P_{n+2,t}(y) = 1$ and $P_{i,t}(y) = 1 + t^{\alpha_i} y$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n+1$, where t is a real positive parameter that will be taken small enough, and each α_i is a real number. The corresponding Gale polynomial (2.5) is

$$G_t(y) := \prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} P_{2j+1,t}^{\lambda_{2j+1}}(y) - \prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} P_{2j,t}^{-\lambda_{2j}}(y). \tag{4.1}$$

We are interested in the roots of G_t contained in $\Delta_{+,t}$, which is the common positivity domain of the polynomials $P_{i,t}$. Note that here $\Delta_{+,t} =]0, +\infty[$. The polynomial G_t is a particular case of a Viro polynomial (c.f. [1, 15, 16])

$$f_t(y) = \sum_{p=p_0}^{d} \phi_p(t) y^p,$$

where t is a positive real number, and each coefficient $\phi_p(t)$ is a finite sum $\sum_{q\in I_p} c_{p,q}t^q$ with $c_{p,q} \in \mathbb{R}$ and q a real number.

We now recall how one can recover in some cases the real roots of f_t for t small enough (see for instance [1]). Write f for the function of y and t defined by f_t. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the convex hull of the points (p, q) for $p_0 \leq p \leq d$ and $q \in I_p$. Assume that D has dimension 2. Its lower hull L is the union of the edges $e_1, \ldots, e_l$ of D whose inner normals have positive second coordinate. Let I_i be the image of e_i under the projection $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ forgetting the last coordinate. Then the intervals $I_1, \ldots, I_l$ subdivide the Newton segment $[p_0, d]$ of f_t. Let $f^{(i)}$ be the facial subpolynomial of f for the face e_i. That is, the polynomial $f^{(i)}$ is the sum of terms $c_{p,q}y^p$ such that $(p, q) \in e_i$. Suppose that e_i is the graph of $y \mapsto a_i y + b_i$ over I_i. Expanding $f_t(yt^{-a_i})/t^{b_i}$ in powers of t gives

$$f_t(yt^{-a_i})/t^{b_i} = f^{(i)}(y) + g^{(i)}(y, t) \quad \text{and} \quad i = 1, \ldots, l, \tag{4.2}$$

where $g^{(i)}(y, t)$ collects the terms whose powers of t are positive. Then $f^{(i)}(y)$ has Newton segment I_i and its number of non-zero roots counted with multiplicities is $|I_i|$, the length of the interval I_i.

Lemma 4.1. *Assume that for $i = 1, \ldots, l$, the polynomial $f^{(i)}$ is a binomial. Then there exists a bijection between the set of all non-degenerate positive roots of f_t for $t > 0$ small enough and the set of non-degenerate positive roots of $f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(l)}$.*

Proof. Since $f^{(i)}(y)$ is a binomial, it has at most one positive root r which is simple, and there will be a positive root $r_{i,t}$ of

$$f^{(i)}(y) + g^{(i)}(y, t)$$

near such r for t small enough. Let $K \subset]0, +\infty[$ denote a compact interval containing the positive root of $f^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, l$. Then, for $t > 0$ small enough, the interval K contains the positive root $r_{i,t}$ of $f_t(yt^{-a_i})/t^{b_i}$. Moreover, the intervals $t^{-a_1}K, \ldots, t^{-a_l}K$ are disjoint for $t > 0$ small enough. This gives l positive roots of f_t for $t > 0$ small enough. Roots of $f_t(yt^{-a_i})/t^{b_i}$ which are close to a point r are positive only if r is positive, and the number of these roots is determined by the first term $f^{(i)}(y)$. Since $f^{(i)}(y)$ is a binomial, it has only one simple positive root. □

To simplify the notations, set $p_0 = 0$, $p_1 = \lambda_1$, $p_2 = -\lambda_2$, $p_3 = \lambda_1 + \lambda_3, \ldots$ and $p_{n+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{n/2} \lambda_{2j+1}$ if n is even and $p_{n+1} = -\sum_{j=1}^{(n+1)/2} \lambda_{2j}$ if n is odd. Then by assumption, we have $p_0 < p_1 < \cdots < p_{n+1}$. Set $h_0 = 0$ and choose real numbers $h_1, \ldots, h_{n+1}$ such that the lower part L of the convex hull of $\{(p_i, h_i) |\ i = 0, \ldots, n+1\}$ consists of the segments $[(p_i, h_i), (p_{i+1}, h_{i+1})]$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n$. Therefore, projecting L to $\mathbb{R}$ via the map $\mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ forgetting the last coordinate, we get the subdivision of $[0, p_{n+1}]$ by the intervals $[p_i, p_{i+1}]$ (see Figure 8). Set $\alpha_1 = h_1/p_1$, $\alpha_2 = h_2/p_2$ and

$$\alpha_i = \frac{h_i - h_{i-2}}{p_i - p_{i-2}} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 3, \ldots, n + 1.$$



FIGURE 8. The lower hull L of G_t for $n = 4$.

Proposition 4.2. *For $t > 0$ small enough the polynomial (4.1) has $n+1$ roots in $\Delta_{+,t} =]0, +\infty[$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that the lower hull of the Viro polynomial

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} P_{2j+1,t}^{\lambda_{2j+1}}(y) \tag{4.3}$$

is composed of the segments $[(p_{2j+1}, h_{2j+1}), (p_{2j+3}, h_{2j+3})]$ for $j = 0, \ldots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$. Similarly, the lower hull of

$$-\prod_{j=1}^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} P_{2j,t}^{-\lambda_{2j}}(y) \tag{4.4}$$

is composed of the segments $[(p_{2j-2}, h_{2j-2}), (p_{2j}, h_{2j})]$ for $j = 1, \ldots, \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor$. It follows that the lower hull of the Viro polynomial G_t is L. Now we apply Lemma 4.1 to G_t. For $i = 0, \ldots, n$, the facial subpolynomial $G^{(i)}$ corresponding to the segment $[(p_i, h_i), (p_{i+1}, h_{i+1})] \subset L$ is a binomial where one monomial comes from (4.3) and the other comes from (4.4). Consequently, this binomial has coefficients of different signs and thus it has one simple positive root. Therefore by Lemma 4.1, the polynomial G_t has $n + 1$ non-degenerate positive roots for $t > 0$ small enough. □

Example 4.1. *Choose for $i = 0, \ldots, n$, the slope of the segment $[(p_i, h_i), (p_{i+1}, h_{i+1})]$ of L to be equal to i. We compute explicitly the values $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n+1}$ of the exponent of t appearing*

respectively in $P_{1,t},\dots,P_{n+1,t}$. *We have* $h_1=0$, *and*

$$i=\frac{h_{i+1}-h_i}{p_{i+1}-p_i}\quad \text{for } i=0,\dots,n.$$

Since $\alpha_1=0$ *and for* $i=0,\dots,n-1$, *we have* $\alpha_{i+2}=(h_{i+2}-h_i)/(p_{i+2}-p_i)$, *then*

$$\alpha_{i+2}=i+\frac{p_{i+2}-p_{i+1}}{p_{i+2}-p_i}.$$

Note that $p_{i+2}-p_i=\lambda_i$ *if* i *is odd, and* $p_{i+2}-p_i=-\lambda_i$ *if* i *is even. Moreover, we have*

$$p_{i+2}-p_{i+1}=\sum_{j=0}^{(i+1)/2}\lambda_{2j+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{(i+1)/2}\lambda_{2j}\quad \text{if } i \text{ is odd and}\quad -\sum_{j=1}^{(i+2)/2}\lambda_{2j}-\sum_{j=0}^{i/2}\lambda_{2j+1}\quad \text{if } i \text{ is even.}$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha_{i+2}=i+\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor i+1\rfloor/2}\lambda_{2j+1}+\sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor i+2\rfloor/2}\lambda_{2j}}{\lambda_i}.$$

□

References

[1] B. Bertrand, F. Bihan, F. Sottile, *Polynomial systems with few real zeroes*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, Mar. 2006, Vol. 253, Issue 2, pp 361-385.

[2] F. Bihan, *Maximally positive polynomial systems supported on circuits*, Journal of Symbolic Computation, Vol. 68, Part 2, MayJune 2015, Pages 6174.

[3] F. Bihan, *Polynomial systems supported on circuits and dessins d'enfant*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society **75** (2007), no. 1, 116–132.

[4] F. Bihan, A. Dickenstein, *Descartes' rule of signs for polynomials systems supported on circuits*, ArXiv: math.AG/1601.05826.

[5] F. Bihan, B. El Hilany, *A sharp bound on the number of real intersection points of a sparse plane curve with a line*, ArXiv: math.AG/1506.03309.

[6] F. Bihan, F. Sottile, *Gale duality for complete intersection,* Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 58 (2008), no. 3, 877–891.

[7] F. Bihan, F. Sottile, *New fewnomial upper bounds from Gale dual polynomial systems,* Moscow Mathematical Journal, Vol. 7, No. 3, (2007), 387-407. (special volume dedicated to Askold Khovanskii for his 60th birthday).

[8] E. Brugallé, *Real plane algebraic curves with asymptotically maximal number of even ovals*, 2006, Duke Mathematical Journal 131 (3):575–587.

[9] A. Khovanskii, *Fewnomials*, Trans. of Math. Monographs, 88, AMS, 1991.

[10] A. Kouchnirenko, *A Newton polyhedron and the number of solutions of a system of k equations in k unknowns*, Usp. Math. Nauk. 30 (1975), 266267.

[11] T.-Y. Li, J.-M. Rojas, X. Wang, *Counting real connected components of trinomial curve intersections and m-nomial hypersurfaces,* Discrete Comput. Geom. 30 (2003), no. 3, 379 - 414.

[12] S. Yu Orevkov, *Riemann existence theorem and construction of real algebraic curves*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **12** (2003), no. 4, 517-531.

[13] K. Phillipson, J.-M. Rojas, *Fewnomial systems with Many Roots, and an Adelic Tau Conjecture*, Contemp. Math. 605 (2013), 4571.

[14] B. Sturmfels, *Viro's theorem for complete intersections*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze, 21(3), pp 377386, 1994.

[15] O. Viro, *Gluing of algebraic hypersurfaces, smoothing of singularities and construction of curves* (in russian), Proc. Leningrad Int. Topological Conf., Leningrad, 1982, Nauka, Leningrad, 1983, pp 149197.

[16] O. Viro, *Gluing of plane algebraic curves and construction of curves of degree 6 and 7*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1060, 1984, pp 187200.

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Université Savoie Mont Blanc, 73376 Le Bourget-du-Lac Cedex, France

E-mail address: boulos.el-hilany@univ-smb.fr

URL: https://lama.univ-savoie.fr/~elhilany/